# A Bayesian Algorithm & Dataset for mm-VLBI Image Reconstruction

Katie Bouman





Likelihood

Prior

# **Related Work**

#### CLEAN

- Not Bayesian
  - Difficult to Adapt

#### **Optical Interferometry**

Bispectrum-MEM



# Overview





# Van Cittert-Zernike Theorem



#### TrraditioRephesegretaRieppr.e9ettaaPoulse



# Image Representation: Rectangle Pulse





# Image Representation: Triangle Pulse





# **Comparing Image Pulses**



# Approximate Van Cittert-Zernike Theorem: 1D



# Approximate Van Cittert-Zernike Theorem: 2D



Works for Any Pulse With a Closed-Form Fourier Transform

# Overview



Some images adapted from slides by Daniel Zoran

# Natural Image Prior

Given an NxN matrix X return P(X) - "Probability that X is a natural image"



An unlikely image A more likely image A likely image

# **Natural Patch Prior**



# **Natural Patches**





# Modeling the Patches

# Samples from Natural Patch Model



# **Celestial Images**



# Samples from Celestial Patch Model

| Ð                        |    | 1   | 18 | 1 |    | ł. | 3   | 2  | ٥,  | k  | 83       |     | 4  | 4  | ŝ  | 8  |    | b), | N  | 1        | 5   |    | E. | 8          | 1  | Ċ.            |    | al. | 2   |    | 5          | 8  |
|--------------------------|----|-----|----|---|----|----|-----|----|-----|----|----------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----------|-----|----|----|------------|----|---------------|----|-----|-----|----|------------|----|
| <ul> <li>Samp</li> </ul> | 99 | đ   | e  | 8 | ē. | 1  | R   | ę. | e:  | 18 |          | ŝ   | ß  | 9  | D  | ų. | đ  | 8   | 14 | 28       | 24  |    | 8  | <b>张</b>   | 2  | 2             | 2  | 2   | 8   | 8  | 12)<br>    | 15 |
|                          | 邂  | i.J |    | n | 8  | 1  | 滑   | ыł | 8   |    |          |     | ЗË | n, | į. | 23 | (Q | ¥.  | 52 | 8        |     | 3  | ł, | 1          | ŝ  | 1             | 8  | 1   | 2   | 8  |            | R  |
|                          |    | 2   | 19 | 1 | 2  | ÷. | 2   | 26 | ,   | u) | 譅        | 20  | į. | 6  | 5  | 28 | 8  | 8   | ę. | 橋        | 8   | 8  | 2  | ðs         |    |               | ÷۲ |     | Ø   | 8  | 8          | i. |
|                          | 1  | 8   | 3  | 2 | 29 | 2  | 10  | s. | 1   | 3  |          | J.  | ſű |    | £  | 8  | P  | 22  | ŝ. | ş        | 3   | 2  | 8  | <u>9</u> 2 | 8  | 99            | 2  | 2   | 22  | 14 | 1          | ų, |
|                          | 5  |     | e. |   | 83 | 3  | 33  |    | 18  | 2  |          | ii) | 叔  | 12 | 8  |    | 5  | 8   | 0  | -        | 22  |    | 86 | 8          | 3  | 6             |    |     | Ċ,  | Ċ, |            | 8  |
|                          |    | ş   |    | C | 2  | 8  | 13  | 24 | (B  | 2  |          | ¢.  | 部  | Ľ. | 6  |    | 69 | e   | N. | ic.      | P.  | 35 | 黯  |            | ¥, | 1             | 8  | 1   | 8   | 8  | <b>B</b> ( |    |
|                          | 頾  | ġ,  | 2  | K | 8  | 72 | R.  | 1  | 13  |    |          |     | 20 | ŝ  | Q. |    | J. | 1   | 8  | <b>N</b> | di. | ×. | æ  | 93         | 8  | 2             | 胞  | 2   | 2   | i. |            | 8  |
|                          | 85 | 8   | ×. | 8 |    | 1  | 驟   | 4  | 28  | 3  | <b>5</b> | E.  | 8  | ×. | E  |    | 96 | 12  | ŝ  | 3        | e.  | i. |    | 8          | đ, | 1             | 53 | 6   | 3   | Q. | 1          | 8  |
|                          | 88 | 8   | 8  | Q |    | 1  | 5.0 | 2  | al. | 18 | K.       |     | 15 | 12 | 8  | ŝ  | 2  | 57  | a. | Č2       | ø   | 4  | 8  | 12         | P. | S.            | 82 | 2   | R   | ų, | <b>8</b> ( | ÷, |
|                          |    |     |    |   |    |    |     |    |     |    |          |     |    |    |    |    |    |     |    |          |     |    |    |            |    | $\rightarrow$ | 6  | 'C  | lus | te | r"         |    |

# Black Hole Images



#### Images courtesy of Avery Brodrick

#### Samples from Black Hole Patch Model



# Optimization

# Expected Log Likelihood - EPLL

# "Half-Quadratic Splitting"

# Results – Synthetic Data

|          | CLEAN | SQUEEZE | BSMEM | CHIRP |
|----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| 3.0 Flux |       |         |       |       |
| 1.0 Flux |       |         | 3     |       |
| 0.5 Flux |       |         |       |       |



Since these images were generated, we have found better parameters to use in SQUEEZE

# Results – Real Data



# **VLBI** Dataset Website

#### **VLBI** Reconstruction Dataset

A Dataset Designed to Train and Test Very Long Baseline Interferometry Image Reconstruction Algorithms

| HOME | FAQ | TRAINING DATA | REAL DATA | TEST DATA | SCOREBOARD | RESULT GALLERY | GENERATE YOUR DAT |
|------|-----|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|-------------------|
|      |     |               |           |           |            |                |                   |

#### Welcome to the VLBI Reconstruction Dataset!

The goal of this website is to provide a testbed for developing new VLBI reconstruction algorithms. By supplying a large set of easy to understand training and testing data, we hope to make the problem more accessible to those less familiar with the VLBI field. Specifically, this website contains a:

- Large set of synthetic training data for many different VLBI arrays and targets
- · Set of real data measurements provided in the same standard format
- <u>Standardized data set</u> for testing VLBI Image Reconstruction Algorithms
- Online quantitative evaluation of algorithm performance on simulated testing data
- · Qualitative comparison of algorithm performance on the reconstruction of real data
- Online form to easily simulate realistic data using your own image and telescope parameters

# vlbiimaging.csail.mit.edu

# Questions?



Katie Bouman



Daniel Zoran



Bill Freeman



Michael Johnson



Andrew Chael



Vincent Fish



Sheperd Doeleman

# Approximate Continuous Image: 1D



# Approximate Van Cittert-Zernike Theorem: 1D





of image X

#### **Atmospheric Noise and Closure Phase**



$$\begin{split} &\omega \tau_{1,2} + \phi_1 - \phi_2 : \text{Telescopes 1 x 2} \\ &\omega \tau_{2,3} + \phi_2 - \phi_3 : \text{Telescopes 2 x 3} \\ &+ \omega \tau_{3,1} + \phi_3 - \phi_1 : \text{Telescopes 3 x 1} \\ \hline &\omega \tau_{1,2} + \omega \tau_{2,3} + \omega \tau_{3,1} \end{split}$$

# Overview

#### Image Reconstruction Algorithm

Likelihood "Data Term"

Image Representation

Bispectrum Energy

Prior "Previous Expectations Term"

Training a Patch Prior

Reconstructing with a Patch Prior

# Overview

#### Image Reconstruction Algorithm

Likelihood "Data Term"

Image Representation

Bispectrum Energy

Prior "Previous Expectations Term"

Training a Patch Prior

Reconstructing with a Patch Prior



Training Image



A Simple Prior Learned from Training Data



Noisy image we wish to restore using our patch prior



Non-Overlapping Patches



**Overlapping Patches - Patch Averaging** 



We want every patch in the output to be likely

Expected Patch Log Likelihood - EPLL We propose the EPLL cost function:

#### EPLL is NOT P(x)

 $f_p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 - \sum_{i}^{j} \log p(\mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{x})$ 

# Optimization

We use "half-quadratic splitting" Introduce a set of auxiliary variables **Z** Solve the following optimization problem:

$$c_{p,\beta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2 +$$